



13 MAR 26 PM 3: 15

LEGAL PROCESS #3

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

THE NATIONAL GRANGE OF THE ORDER OF PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY, a Washington, D.C., nonprofit corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRANGE, a California nonprofit corporation, and ROBERT MCFARLAND, JOHN LUVAAS, GERALD CHERNOFF, and DAMINA PARR,

Defendants.

Case No.: 34-2012-00130439

DECLARATION OF ROBERT McFARLAND IN SUPPORT OF THE REPLY RE: HIS MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

DATE: March 29, 2013 TIME: 2:00 P.M.

DEPT: 53

Complaint Filed October 1, 2013

Trial Date: None Set

I, Robert McFarland, hereby declare that:

- 1. I am currently the elected Master and President of the California State Grange. The facts stated in this declaration are true and correct and based on my own personal knowledge, and if called upon to testify thereto, I am competent to do so.
- 2. All persons that become a member of the Grange take an oath which states in pertinent part, "... I will conform to and abide by the laws of my State and Nation, and the Constitution, rules and regulations of the Grange at all levels ... " as part of the initiation ceremony set forth in the Digest of Laws. Attached to the Index of Exhibits as Exhibit "W" is a true and correct copy of the Digest of

Laws. At the time I was elected President of the California State Grange in 2009, the civil lawsuit between the California State Grange and the Vista Grange had been going on for some period of time.

- 3. On or about November 2009, while I was attending the National Grange Convention in Grand Rapids, Michigan, I was asked by Edward Luttrell to meet with himself and the National Grange Executive Committee regarding the Vista Grange lawsuit. I met with them as requested and informed them of as much information as I had in my knowledge. I explained to Edward Luttrell and the National Committee that the Delegates of the California State Grange had passed a resolution assigning the California State Grange Executive Committee with the duty of settling the Vista Grange lawsuit. I further explained to the National Committee that the California State Grange was just ending three consecutive years of deficit spending and that the attorney's fees related to the Vista Grange lawsuit were running approximately \$5,000.00 a month.
- 4. During the very same meeting, I reminded Edward Luttrell and the National Grange Executive Committee that the California State Grange had formally requested financial support from the National Grange, in order to continue to proceed with the Vista lawsuit, however the National Grange refused to contribute any money and only offered a loan for \$12,000 which was not sufficient to see the Vista lawsuit through to a conclusion. The meeting concluded with me informing Edward Luttrell and the National Grange Executive Committee that the California State Grange Executive Committee thought that a settlement was the best method of resolution and asked them if there was anything that they would like to suggest in terms of settlement to which neither Edward Luttrell or the National Grange Executive Committee responded.
- 5. On December 9, 2009, I attended a court ordered mediation in the Vista lawsuit. With the advice and consent of a majority of the California State Grange Executive Committee, I entered into a settlement agreement with the Vista Grange. The terms of the settlement included: The Charter of the Vista Grange being reinstated; the Vista Grange amending its Articles of Incorporation reinstating the Vista Grange; An agreement that the Vista Grange could sell it's real property consistent with Grange Law; Proceeds from the sale would be split with 20% going to the California State Grange and 80% disbursed for purposes approved by the California State Grange Executive Committee to include scholarships for agricultural related fields, and books and education materials for

children in the Vista community; General releases from both parties; and a dismissal of the action by the California State Grange.

- 6. On or about August 15, 2010, I caused to be sent to Edward Luttrell an email informing him of the terms of the settlement of the Vista lawsuit. Attached to the Index of Exhibits as **Exhibit** "T" is a true and correct copy of the August 15, 2010 email sent to Edward Luttrell regarding the terms of the Vista lawsuit settlement.
- 7. On or about November 1, 2010, I again caused to be sent to the National Grange Executive Committee and Edward Luttrell a copy of the minutes from the California State Grange Executive Committee in which the Committee affirmed the terms of the Vista lawsuit settlement. Attached to the Index of Exhibits as Exhibit "U" is a true and correct copy of the November 1, 2010 email sent to Edward Luttrell and the National Grange Executive Committee regarding the California State Grange Executive Committee affirming the terms of the settlement.
- 8. Since November 2010, I never heard anything from either Edward Luttrell or the National Grange regarding the terms of the Vista settlement, until I received a copy of Edward Luttrell's August 1, 2012 letter regarding charges against me related to the Vista settlement agreement on the first day I returned from a 60 day suspension.
- 9. On or about September 24, 2012, approximately 45 days after I received Edward Lutrell's Complaint, I received a letter from Jeffrey Swainston stating that an Arbitration Panel had been appointed and that I had 15 days from the date of such letter to respond to the Arbitration Panel. However, before I was able to send any reply I was served with the National Grange's Complaint and Motion to restrain me from performing my job. A true and correct copy of the letter dated September 21, 2012 is attached to the Index of Exhibits as **Exhibit "R"**.
- 10. On or about January 15, 2013, I received an email from Jeffery Swainston of the Arbitration Panel stating that they are recommending a "Grange Trial". From the time that I received the letter in September from the Arbitration Panel to the time I received the letter recommending a "Grange Trial" I did not receive one phone call or communication from the Arbitration Panel attempting to informally discuss any type of resolution to this matter. A true and correct copy of the

email as well as its attachment dated January 7, 2012 is attached to the Index of Exhibits as Exhibit "S".

- 11. Pursuant to the Digest of Laws §12.2.16 and §12.2.17, the National Grange is supposed to appoint an Arbitration Panel within 30 days of a Complaint being lodged and the Arbitration Panel is required to take reasonable steps to resolve the issues between the parties. However, I never received one phone call or communication from any member of the Arbitration Panel attempting to resolve or confer about any of the issues brought about by the charges Edward Luttrell made against me. Instead, I have been forced to defend myself and my employment in a State Court action and now have been told that I must pay approximately 25% of my annual salary if I would like to have the ability to put on any evidence in a "Grange Trial".
- 12. On or about March 8, 2013, the Board of Directors of the California State Grange provided me a vote of confidence and also unanimously passed a resolution stating: "This corporate body resolves we support our State Master Bob McFarland and our executive committee for actions taken during the last year and continue to do so." Attached to hereto as Exhibit "V" is a true and correct copy of the March 8, 2013 resolution of the Board of Directors of the California State Grange providing me a vote of confidence to continue on as the President of the California State Grange.
- 13. Recently I have learned that the National Grange has caused to be filed a Complaint and scheduled a "Grange Trial" against John Luvaas, a member of the Board of Directors of the California State Grange. On information and belief, the "Grange Trial" will cost him approximately \$8,000.00 to attend and attempt to defend. I fear that without injunctive relief the National Grange will keep bringing charges and setting "Grange Trials" until they can install Directors that are favorable to Edward Luttrell and then terminate my employment contract.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 26, 2013, in Sacramento, California.

ROBERT McFARLAND